

Appln. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending in the present application, of which claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are in independent form. In the present Amendment, each of claims 1 - 6 have been amended.

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the hypothetical combination of U.S. Patent No. 6,272,474 (Garcia), and U.S. Patent No. 5,845,266 (Lupien et al.). Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

As best understood by Applicants, Garcia describes a system for monitoring and trading stocks that includes the graphical display of bid/ask trade bars. (See Garcia at FIG. 3.) Garcia describes a method wherein information related to bid offers and ask offers is received by the system. (See Garcia at col. 5, lns. 56-60.) The Garcia system graphically displays bid/ask trade bars that include general market information such as the percentage of trades at the ask prices, the percentage of trades at the bid prices, the percentage of trades between the ask and the bid, the bid-to-ask ratio, and the volume of trades over a given interval. (Id. at col. 6, lns. 4-9.) As such, the system described by Garcia displays general market selling trends that can be used as a tool by a trader to inform his or her trading decisions. The display of Garcia is not believed to graphically display individual ask and bid prices, and a spread between them.

Claim 1, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, a method of conducting an auction utilizing a network computer system in which an "image of at least one scaled graph having incremental bid levels" is displayed "upon a computer monitor reflecting a range of monetary

Appl. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

values," an "individual ask bid at a selected incremental bid level" is displayed "upon the scaled graph," and an "individual buy bid at a selected incremental bid level" is displayed "upon the scaled graph." A "spread having a plurality of the incremental bid levels between the graphically displayed individual ask bid and the graphically displayed individual buy bid" is displayed. The "scaled graph with the displayed individual ask bid, the individual buy bid, and the spread" is reconfigured "in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels."

In a typical auction, "as the spread narrows the minimal incremental bid may decrease." (See page 3, lns. 2-3, of the specification.) In such circumstances, a participant "may not realize that the minimal incremental bid level [between the current individual buy price and the current individual sell price] has been reduced, and thus the participant may submit a bid which is greater than an amount necessary to gain a controlling bid." (See page 3, lns. 4-6, of the specification.) A benefit of the invention claimed by amended claim 1 is that, in an auction, a buyer or seller can view a graphical display of an individual buy bid, and individual sell bid, and a spread having incremental bid levels, to facilitate accurate and efficient trading between a buyer and a seller during an auction. In addition, the spread is reconfigured "in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels."

With respect to Garcia, Applicants respectfully submit that Garcia does not describe all of the features of amended claim 1. For example, amended claim 1 recites a method of conducting an auction that includes "displaying an image of at least one scaled graph having incremental bid levels upon a computer monitor reflecting a range of monetary values," "graphically displaying an individual ask bid at a selected incremental bid level upon the scaled

Appl. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

graph," and "graphically displaying an individual buy bid at a selected incremental bid level upon the scaled graph." Garcia is not understood to describe the claimed graph having incremental bid levels, an individual ask bid, and an individual buy bid. As discussed above, Garcia is understood to display bid/ask trade bars that include general market information such as the percentage of trades at the ask prices, the percentage of trades at the bid prices, the percentage of trades between the ask and the bid, the bid-to-ask ratio, and the volume of trades over a given interval.

Further, amended claim 1 recites "graphically displaying a spread having a plurality of the incremental bid levels between the graphically displayed individual ask bid and the graphically displayed individual buy bid." As described above, Garcia does not appear to Applicants to describe these claimed features. Garcia describes bid/ask trade bars that display general market information for a security, but not a spread having incremental bid levels between individual ask and buy bids.

Still further, amended claim 1 recites "reconfiguring the scaled graph with the displayed individual ask bid, the individual buy bid, and the spread in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels." Because, as described above, Garcia is not understood to describe an individual ask bid, an individual buy bid, nor a spread having a plurality of the incremental bid levels therebetween, Garcia cannot and does not describe the claimed reconfiguring of any such "scaled graph with the displayed individual ask bid, the individual buy, bid and the spread in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels."

Appl. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

Thus, Garcia does not teach, suggest, or provide motivation for the method of conducting an auction as claimed by amended claim 1.

Lupien does not cure these deficiencies of Garcia. As understood by Applicants, Lupien describes a crossing network that displays satisfaction density profiles. The Lupien system appears to allow a trader to set up and preload a price-quantity matrix for buying or selling a security. (See Lupien at FIG. 2.) In general, as understood by Applicants, the matrix facilitates subsequent automated trading in circumstances where a trader might be willing to buy a certain quantity of a security at a first price, but would be willing to buy a larger quantity of that security at a second, lower price (i.e., the price that a trader will buy at is related to the quantity that the trader can buy). (See Lupien at col. 3, lns. 33-36.) In Lupien, the satisfaction density profiles (price-quantity matrices) of buy orders are matched with the satisfaction density profiles of sell orders. (Id. at col. 4, lns. 25-28.) Trades are then executed automatically, without further input by the traders, as part of a continuous or batch process. Lupien describes that the range and scale of the price and/or quantity axis can be set by a trader, or automatically set. (Id. at col. 3, lns. 33-36.) In Lupien, a price interval parameter 36 enables the trader to set the price interval on the price axis on the satisfaction density profile. (See Lupien at col. 7, lns. 36-38.) As understood by Applicants, Lupien does not mention any rescaling of bid increments.

With respect to Lupien, Applicants respectfully submit that Lupien does not describe all of the features of amended claim 1, and does not disclose or suggest the claimed features not described by Garcia, as discussed above. For example, as described above with respect to Garcia, amended claim 1 recites a method of conducting an auction that includes "displaying an image of at least one scaled graph having incremental bid levels upon a computer

Appl. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

monitor reflecting a range of monetary values," "graphically displaying an individual ask bid at a selected incremental bid level upon the scaled graph," and "graphically displaying an individual buy bid at a selected incremental bid level upon the scaled graph." Garcia is not understood to describe the claimed graph having incremental bid levels, an individual ask bid, and an individual buy bid. As discussed above, Lupien displays satisfaction density profiles (price-quantity matrices) of buy orders that are subsequently and automatically matched with the satisfaction density profiles of sell orders.

Further, amended claim 1 recites "graphically displaying a spread having a plurality of the incremental bid levels between the graphically displayed individual ask bid and the graphically displayed individual buy bid." Again, Lupien is not seen to disclose or suggest these claimed features. Lupien merely describes that the range and scale of the price and/or quantity axis can be set by a trader, or automatically set, and that a price interval parameter enables the trader to set the price interval on the price axis on the satisfaction density profile.

Still further, amended claim 1 recites "reconfiguring the scaled graph with the displayed individual ask bid, the individual buy bid, and the spread in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels." Because, as mentioned above, Lupien is not understood to describe an individual ask bid, an individual buy bid, nor a spread having a plurality of the incremental bid levels therebetween, Lupien cannot describe the claimed reconfiguring of any such "scaled graph with the displayed individual ask bid, the individual buy bid, and the spread in response to the spread decreasing to a selected quantity justifying a reallocation of the incremental bid levels."

Appln. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

Thus, Lupien is not believed to teach, suggest, or provide motivation for a method of conducting an auction as claimed by amended claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that neither Garcia nor Lupien, either taken alone, or in the hypothetical combination proposed by the Examiner (assuming such combination is even permissible), teaches, suggests, or provides motivation for the claimed invention. Therefore, Applicants submit that amended claim 1 is patentable over Garcia and Lupien, and withdrawal of the rejection to claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

Claim 2 depends from claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claim 2 is patentable over any permissible combination of Garcia and Lupien, for at least the reasons stated above with respect to the rejection of claim 1. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection to claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

Independent claims 3, 5, and 6, as amended, each recite features similar to those discussed above with respect to the rejection of claim 1. For example, amended claim 3 recites, *inter alia*, a method of conducting an auction in which "an individual buy bid" is displayed upon a "graphical scale," "an individual ask bid" is displayed "upon the graphical scale," and "a plurality of incremental bid levels" are displayed "upon the graphical scale between the individual buy bid and the individual ask bid." A "quantity distribution and a monetary valuation of each bid level" are dependent upon "a spread between the individual buy bid and the individual ask bid." The "graphical scale, the individual buy bid upon the graphical scale, and the individual ask bid upon the graphical scale" are redisplayed "in response to a narrowing of the spread between the buy bid and the ask bid with an entry of a new individual bid." A "new quantity distribution and a monetary valuation of each incremental bid level" are "dependent

Appl. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

upon the spread between the individual buy bid and the individual ask bid." Similarly, amended claim 5 recites, *inter alia*, a system for auctioning goods between remote users. The system includes "means for displaying an individual sell bid upon a graph," "means for displaying an individual buy bid upon a graph," and "means for determining a spread between the individual sell bid and the individual buy bid." The system includes "means for displaying the plurality of incremental bid levels associated with the spread," and "means for redisplaying the graph, the individual sell bid, the individual buy bid, and the spread upon [a] the video monitors with a reallocation of the quantity and the monetary values associated with the incremental bid levels in response to a narrowing of the spread with an entry of a new individual sell bid or individual buy bid."

Also similarly, amended claim 6 recites, *inter alia*, a system for auctioning goods that includes "means for generating a graph having a plurality of incremental levels representing monetary values, wherein a quantity and a monetary value of each incremental level is determined by a spread between an individual buy bid and an individual sell bid," and "means for regenerating the graph and the quantity and the monetary value associated with each incremental level in response to a narrowing of the spread between the individual buy bid and the individual sell bid."

Thus, each of amended independent claims 3, 5, and 6, while different in scope and content, recites features related to the graphical display of an individual buy bid, an individual sell bid, and incremental bid levels therebetween. As stated above in the discussion of the rejection to claim 1, neither Garcia nor Lupien, either taken alone, or in any hypothetical permissible combination, are understood to show or describe these claimed features, but instead,

Appn. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

are believed to describe systems that display composite trading information such as, for example, bid/ask trade bars that include general market information such as the percentage of trades at the ask prices, the percentage of trades at the bid prices, the percentage of trades between the ask and the bid, the bid-to-ask ratio (Garcia), or satisfaction density profiles, including price-quantity matrices, of buy orders that are input by traders and then subsequently automatically matched with the satisfaction density profiles of sell orders (Lupien).

Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 3, 5, and 6 are patentable over any permissible combination of Garcia and Lupien, for at least the reasons stated above with respect to the rejection of claim 1. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejections to claims 3, 5, and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

Claim 4 depends from claim 3. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claim 4 is patentable over any permissible combination of Garcia and Lupien, for at least the reasons stated above with respect to the rejection of claim 3. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection to claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

In view of the above remarks, reconsideration and an early allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.


Appln. No. 09/729,397
Amendment dated April 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

CONCLUSION

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (973) 597-2500.

All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



James F. Dobrow
Registration No. 46,666
Attorney for Applicants

DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ 07068